

Talker specificity effects in the perception of foreign-accented speech

Conor T. M^cLennan^{*} & Julio González[†]

*Language Research Laboratory, Department of Psychology, Cleveland State University; †University Jaume I of Castellón, Spain

ABSTRACT

Our research examines the circumstances in which talker variability affects spoken word perception. Based on previous time-course work, we hypothesized that talker specificity effects would be more robust when processing is relatively slow. We further hypothesized that spoken word processing would be significantly slower for listeners presented with foreign-accent speech than for listeners presented with speech produced by native speakers (and thus produced without a foreign accent) Consequently, we predicted that more robust talker specificity effects would be obtained for listeners presented with foreign-accent speech. Our results confirmed these hypotheses: Listeners presented with foreign-accented speech made lexical decision responses significantly more slowly than listeners presented with non-accented speech. Crucially, talker specificity effects were only obtained for listeners presented with foreign-accented speech. The results are consistent with previous time-course findings, and add to our knowledge of the circumstances under which variability affects the perception of spoken words.

INTRODUCTION

•Despite numerous sources of variability (e.g., talker identity, speaking rate), humans recognize spoken words both *quickly* and *accurately*.

•Talker information does *not* comprise part of the linguistic content of an utterance.

•For example, regardless of who says a given word (e.g., *telephone*), the meaning of the word does not change.

•Nevertheless, talker variability has long-term consequences for the *representations* underlying language perception (see e.g., Church & Schacter, 1994; Goldinger, 1996).

•Talker changes can cause spoken word recognition to be relatively slow (referred to as *talker effects*).

•Talker effects are observed when processing is relatively *slow* but not when processing is relatively *fast* (M^cLennan & Luce, 2005).

•Foreign-accented speech is more difficult to process than native-accented speech (Munro & Derwing, 1995).

•Foreign-accented speech should be processed relatively slowly.

•Therefore, greater talker effects are predicted in foreignaccented speech.

METHOD

Paradigm: Long-Term Repetition Priming

• Two blocks of spoken stimuli presented to listeners:

Prime Block \longrightarrow (filler task) \longrightarrow Target Block

Stimuli

• Primes and targets varied in talker identity:

- -Half the stimuli in each block were spoken by a male and half by a female
- Primes and targets varied in lexical status:
- -Half the stimuli in each block were real words and half were nonwords

Lexical Decision Task

Participants were instructed to press one button to respond "word" and another button to respond "nonword" as quickly and accurately as possible.
Reaction times (RTs) to make lexical decisions to

words in the *target block* were measured as a function of *prime* type. –RTs were measured from the onset of the word to the onset

of the participants' button response.

Design: Three Conditions





Results

Match and Control: p < .001 (Priming Effect) Match and Mismatch: p = .002 (Talker Effect) Mismatch and Control: p = 1.00

Experiment 2

Prediction: Native-accented speech will result in relatively fast processing and attenuated talker effects



Experiment 3

Prediction: Foreign-accented speech will result in relatively slow processing and robust talker effects



Match and Control: p < .001 (Priming Effect) Match and Mismatch: p = .067 (Talker Effect) (marginal) Mismatch and Control: p = .174

Magnitude of Specificity

We directly compared the role that talker-specific details play in the perception of foreign- and native-accented speech by analyzing the difference between the match and mismatch conditions in Experiments 2 and 3.



Greater talker effect in foreign-accented speech than in native-accented speech: p = .057 (marginal)

Conclusions

More robust talker effects obtained with foreignaccented speech than with native-accented speech.

• English words spoken by:

MO Talker Effect) native speakers of American English (i.e., native-accented; see McLennan & Luce, 2005) native speakers of Castilian Spanish (i.e., foreign-accented; current study Experiment 1)

• Spanish words spoken by:

NO Talker Effect) native speakers of Castilian Spanish (i.e., native-accented; current study Experiment 2) native speakers of American English (i.e., foreign-accented; current study Experiment 3)

References

Church, B.A. & Schacter, D.L. (1994). Perceptual specificity of auditory priming: Implicit memory for voice intonation and fundamental frequency. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 20*, 521-533.

Goldinger, S.D. (1996). Words and voices: Episodic traces in spoken word identification and recognition memory. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 22, 1166-1183.

M[€]Lennan, C.T. & Luce, P.A. (2005). Examining the time course of indexical specificity effects in spoken word recognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 31,* 306-321.

Munro, M.J. & Derwing, T.M. (1995) Processing Time, Accent, and Comprehensibility in the Perception of Native and Foreign-Accented Speech. Language and Speech, 38, 289-306.

This research was supported in part by research grant number 5 R03 DC 007316-4 from the National Institute on Dealness and Other Communication Disorders, National Institutes of Health (to CM).

Thanks to Ellen Bronder and Andriy Paliychuk, as well as Steven Beyer, Stuart Daman, Jaime Deyling, Tracy Markis, Anne Sito, and several other Research Assistants for their assistance on this project.